

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS RESPONSE TO
DEFENDANTS' BRIEF RE UNJUST
ENRICHMENT PER THE COURT'S
ORDER (DKT. 2409)**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 certain information in its December 19, 2017 Response to the Court’s Order Requiring Response
 3 to Defendants’ Brief Re Unjust Enrichment (“Waymo’s Administrative Motion”). Having
 4 considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been shown, the
 5 Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the documents listed
 6 below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Waymo’s Response	Highlighted in Blue	Defendants

7
 8
 9
 10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
 12 Dated: _____, 2017

13 _____
 14 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
 15 United States District Court Judge
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28